



PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

DA 93-876 AUG 5 4 02 PH 93

In the Matter of

800 Data Base Access Tariffs and the 800 Service Management System Tariff

Investigation of Special Access
Tariffs of Local Exchange Carriers

Local Exchange Carriers' Rates, Terms, and Conditions for Expanded Interconnection for Special Access

CC Docket No. 93-129 DISPATCHED BY

CC Docket No. 85-166, Phase II, Part 1 and Phase III, Part 1

CC Docket No. 93-162

ORDER

Adopted: August 4, 1993; Released: August 5, 1993

By the Chief, Tariff Division, Common Carrier Bureau

- 800 Data Base Investigation. The Common Carrier Bureau (Bureau) recently released separate orders in the above captioned dockets, setting forth filing schedules for direct cases or reports by local exchange carriers (LECs) to justify various rates. Due to the overlapping filing deadlines, several LECs have requested extensions of the deadlines in each of these proceedings. In this Order, we grant extensions in these proceedings. On July 19, 1993 the Common Carrier Bureau released an Order that required local exchange carriers (LECs) to file their direct cases concerning 800 data base access tariffs on August 19, 1993. On July 26, 1993, Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell (Pacific) filed a motion to extend time to file its direct case, requesting that the deadline for filing direct cases be extended until September 20, 1993. Pacific contends that the variety of issues and sub-issues presented for extensive comment, as well as the request that price cap carriers respond to data requests, constitute a heavy workload. In addition, Pacific asserts that comments on two other major proceedings are due during the same time frame. The Tariff Division has reviewed Pacific's request for extension of time and has determined that good cause has been shown to grant an extension, as requested, to all parties. Therefore, direct cases are now due on September 20, 1993. Pleadings responding to the direct cases must be filed by October 20, 1993, while rebuttals to oppositions or comments are due on November 1, 1993.
- 2. OCC Discount Remand Proceeding. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (Southwestern Bell), on July 29, 1993, filed a motion to extend by 120 days the time for filing the reports required by the OCC Discount Remand Order. Southwestern Bell claims that the employees most familiar with this proceeding have retired, and that other employees who could become familiar on short notice are also working on Southwestern Bell's annual access and expanded

^{1 800} Data Base Access Tariffs and the 800 Service Management System Tariff, Order Designating Issues for Investigation, CC Docket 93-129, DA 93-930 (released July 19, 1993) (Designation Order).

² Investigation of Special Access Tariffs of Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 85-166, Phase II part 1 and Phase III Part 1, FCC 93-337 (released July 12, 1993) (OCC Discount Remand Order).

interconnection filings. Southwestern Bell also claims that additional time is required to find the data for the request because Southwestern Bell has just moved to a new building. Finally, Southwestern Bell maintains that substantial work is required to disaggregate its data according to customers who were parties to the Docket No. 20099 Settlement Agreement and those who were not. The Division has reviewed Southwestern Bell's request for extension of time and has determined that good cause exists to grant an extension of 75 days to all parties. Therefore, reports required by the OCC Discount Remand Order are due on October 26, 1993. Pleadings responding to the reports must be filed on November 10, 1993; rebuttals to oppositions or comments must be filed by November 29, 1993.

- 3. Expanded Interconnection Investigation. On July 28, 1993, Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies (Bell Atlantic) filed a motion for extension of time in the Special Access Expanded Interconnection investigation. The GTE Service Corporation (GTE) also filed a motion for extension of time in this investigation on July 29, 1993, and US West Communications, Inc. (US West) filed a motion on August 2, 1993. The petitioners contend that the three weeks provided for preparing direct cases in this investigation is not adequate to provide all the information requested in the <u>Designation Order</u>, especially in light of filings scheduled in other proceedings. Bell Atlantic also considers it unreasonable to allow less time to prepare direct cases than is allowed for oppositions to the direct cases. US West claims that it needs extra time to convert its data to Lotus 1-2-3 format. We conclude that the petitioners have shown good cause for granting some extension of time in this proceeding but not for the entire time period requested. Accordingly, parties in the Special Access Expanded Interconnection Investigation are required to submit their direct cases on or before August 20, 1993. Oppositions to direct cases are due September 20, 1993, and replies are due September 30, 1993.
- 4. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the motion filed by Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell to extend the deadlines for all parties to file direct cases, oppositions and replies in the 800 data base proceeding IS GRANTED, to the extent specified above.
- 5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion filed by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company to extend the deadlines for all parties to file reports, oppositions and replies required by the OCC Discount Remand Order IS GRANTED, to the extent specified above.

³ Southwestern Bell Motion at 2.

^{4 &}lt;u>Id</u>.

⁵ <u>Id</u>.

⁶ Local Exchange Carriers' Rates, Terms, and Conditions for Expanded Interconnection for Special Access, CC Docket No. 93-162, DA 93-951 (released July 23, 1993) (<u>Designation Order</u>).

⁷ Bell Atlantic Motion at 2-3; GTE Motion at 1-2; US West Motion at 1-3.

⁸ Bell Atlantic Motion at 2.

⁹ US West Motion at 3.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motions for extension of time in the Expanded Interconnection investigation filed by Bell Atlantic Telephone Company, GTE Service Corporation, and US West Communications, Inc., ARE GRANTED, to the extent specified above.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Gregory J. Vogt Chief, Tariff Division Common Carrier Bureau